

D.LIGHT'S IMPROVED COOKING PROJECT IN UGANDA



Document Prepared by



Project Title	D.LIGHT'S IMPROVED COOKING PROJECT IN UGANDA		
Version	01		
Date of Issue	21-February-2023		
Prepared By	Climate Secure		
Contact	Email: <u>info@climate-secure.com</u>		
	Website: <u>www.climate-secure.com</u>		

CONTENTS

1	PI	ROJECT DETAILS	4
	1.1	Summary Description of the Project	4
	1.2	Sectoral Scope and Project Type	4
	1.3	Project Eligibility	4
	1.4	Project Design	7
	1.5	Project Proponent	7
	1.6	Other Entities Involved in the Project	7
	1.7	Ownership	7
	1.8	Project Start Date	8
	1.9	Project Crediting Period	8
	1.10	Project Scale and Estimated GHG Emission Reductions or Removals	8
	1.11	Description of the Project Activity	9
	1.12	Project Location	11
	1.13	Conditions Prior to Project Initiation	12
	1.14	Compliance with Laws, Statutes and Other Regulatory Frameworks	12
	1.15	Participation under Other GHG Programs	12
	1.16	Other Forms of Credit	13
	1.17	Sustainable Development Contributions	13
	1.18	Additional Information Relevant to the Project	16
2	S	AFEGUARDS	. 16
	2.1	No Net Harm	16
	2.2	Local Stakeholder Consultation	23
	2.3	Environmental Impact	23
	2.4	Public Comments	23
	2.5	AFOLU-Specific Safeguards	23
3	Α	PPLICATION OF METHODOLOGY	. 24
	3.1	Title and Reference of Methodology	24
	3.2	Applicability of Methodology	24
	3.3	Project Boundary	26
	3.4	Baseline Scenario	27

	3.5	Additionality	27
	3.6	Methodology Deviations	28
4		QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS	28
	4.1	Baseline Emissions	28
	4.2	Project Emissions	28
	4.3	Leakage	28
	4.4	Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals	28
5		MONITORING	30
	5.1	Data and Parameters Available at Validation	30
	5.2	Data and Parameters Monitored	34
	5.3	Monitoring Plan	36

1 PROJECT DETAILS

1.1 Summary Description of the Project

The "D. LIGHT'S IMPROVED COOKING PROJECT IN UGANDA" is a large-scale project that aims dissemination of energy-efficient improved cookstoves (ICS) to replace existing traditional Cookstoves in domestic households and communities in Uganda.

Traditional cooking methods prevalent across host country, contribute to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions due to inefficient combustion of unsustainably sourced, non-renewable biomass (NRB) fuel. Furthermore, the use of solid biomass fuels (e.g., wood) in inefficient traditional stoves and/or open fires releases large amounts of particulate matter (PM), creating hazardous levels of indoor air pollution (IAP).

The ICSs disseminated under the project are designed to improve fuel combustion and heat transfer. The ICS results in the reduction of fuel consumption and improvement in levels of Indoor air pollution for project users (reducing smoke, black soot, and particulate matter (PM) emissions). The reduction in consumption of cooking fuel also reduces equivalent greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions attributed to the use of non-renewable biomass.

In the absence of this project, the project activity beneficiaries would cook primarily using traditional inefficient stoves for meeting their thermal energy needs, perpetuating environmental and health degradation. The project activity intends to access carbon finance to mitigate one of the main barriers (price) for end users towards adopting clean cookstoves.

The average annual GHG emission reduction from the project activity is estimated to be 462,172 tCO_2e and a total of 3,235,203 tCO_2e over the first crediting period of 7 years.

1.2 Sectoral Scope and Project Type

Mandatory Sectoral Scope: 03 – Energy Demand

Conditional Sectoral Scope: Not Applicable

Project Type: Energy Efficiency Project

The project is not a grouped project, but a large-scale project with multiple project activity instances¹.

1.3 Project Eligibility

1. The Six Kyoto Protocol GHGs: The project is applicable to this scope, as the project involves emission reduction comes from Kyoto GHGs such as CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O in the baseline.

¹ Each ICS unit is deemed as a project activity instance.



2. Ozone-depleting substances: NA. This project does not involve ODSs.

3. Project activities supported by a methodology approved under the VCS program through the methodology approval process: This project uses the methodology VMR006 version 1.1 which is approved under the VCS program through the methodology approval process. Each project activity under the project shall, therefore, follow VMR006.

4. Project activities supported by a methodology approved under an approved GHG program, unless explicitly excluded: NA. This project does not use a methodology approved under an approved GHG program.

5. Jurisdictional REDD+ programs and nested REDD+ projects as set out in the VCS Program document Jurisdictional and Nested REDD+ (JNR) Requirements: NA. This project does not involve REDD+.

Additionally, the project is not listed in table 1, section 2.1.1 of the VCS standard version 4.3, given it involves installation of improved cooking stoves (ICS) in Uganda.

The proposed project activity envisages distribution of 120,000 ICS in Uganda and meets compliance with section 2.1.1. of VCS Standard v (4.3).

Reference to VCS Standard version 4.3		Justification
3.1.1	Projects shall meet all applicable rules and requirements set out under the VCS Program, including this document. Projects shall be guided by the principles set out in Section 2.2.1.	The project meets all applicable rules and requirements as set out under the VCS Program and is guided by the ISO 14064- 2:2006 clause 3.
3.1.2	Projects shall apply methodologies eligible under the VCS Program. Methodologies shall be applied in full, including the full application of any tools or modules referred to by a methodology, noting the exception set out in Section 3.13.1.	The project applies VCS approved methodology VMR0006, version 1.1 along with tools or modules as applicable. Refer to section 3 of the PD below for more details. This has been made an eligibility criterion for inclusion of project activity into the project.
3.1.3	Projects and the implementation of project activities shall not lead to the violation of any applicable law, regardless of whether or not the law is enforced.	The project and its instances shall remain in compliance with the applicable local laws. This has been made an eligibility criterion for inclusion of project activity into the project.
3.1.4	Where projects apply methodologies that permit the project proponent its own choice of model, such model	Not applicable. The applied methodology VMR0006

Compliance with other general requirements is described below:



	shall meet with the requirements set out in the VCS Program document VCS Methodology Requirements and it shall be demonstrated at validation that the model is appropriate to the project circumstances (i.e., use of the model will lead to an appropriate quantification of GHG emission reductions or removals).	version 1.1 does not provide any such option.
3.1.5	Where projects apply methodologies that permit the project proponent its own choice of third-party default factor or standard to ascertain GHG emission data and any supporting data for establishing baseline scenarios and demonstrating additionality, such default factor or standard shall meet with the requirements set out in the VCS Program document VCS Methodology Requirements.	Not applicable. The applied methodology VMR0006 version 1.1 does not provide any such choice.
3.1.6	Projects shall preferentially apply methodologies that use performance methods where a methodology is applicable to the project that uses a performance method for determining both additionality and the crediting baseline (i.e., a project shall not apply a methodology that uses a project method where such a performance method is applicable to the project). Methodologies approved under the VCS Program that use performance methods provide a list of similar methodologies that use project methods (that were approved under the VCS Program or an approved GHG program at the time the performance method was developed). Such lists are not necessarily exhaustive but can serve as the starting point for determining whether a performance method is applicable to the project. Following the approval of a methodology that uses a performance method, projects may use any applicable pre-existing methodology that uses a project method for a six-month grace period.	Not applicable. The applied methodology VMR0006 version 1.1 does not provide the performance method for determining baseline or additionality.
3.1.7	Where the rules and requirements under an approved GHG program conflict with the rules and requirements of the VCS Program, the rules and requirements of the VCS Program shall take precedence.	The project activity applies only VCS methodology and so this is not applicable.
3.1.8	Where projects apply methodologies from approved GHG programs, they shall comply with any specified capacity limits (see the VCS Program document Program Definitions for definition of capacity limit) and any other relevant requirements set out with respect to the application of the methodology and/or tools referenced by the methodology under those programs).	The project activity only applies VCS methodology i.e., VMR0006 version 1.1 and so this is not applicable.
3.1.9	Where Verra issues new requirements relating to projects, registered projects do not need to adhere to the new requirements for the remainder of their project crediting periods (i.e., such projects remain eligible to issue VCUs through to the end of their project crediting period without revalidation against the new requirements). The new requirements shall be adhered to at project crediting period renewal, as set out in Section 3.8.9.	The project will adhere to the new guidelines on renewal of crediting period as per section 3.8.9 of the VCS standard version 4.3.



1.4 Project Design

The proposed large scale project activity involves distribution of multiple project activity instances (PAI) in the geographical boundary of Uganda. All PAI shall remain limited to the geographical boundary of Uganda.

Eligibility Criteria

The project is not a grouped project; hence this is not applicable.

1.5 Project Proponent

Organization name	d.light
Contact person	Karl Skare
Title	Managing Director - Global Partnerships & Strategy
Address	2100 Geng Road, Suite 210, Palo Alto, California, 94303, United States of America
Telephone	
Email	Karl.skare@dlight.com

1.6 Other Entities Involved in the Project

Organization name Climate Secure	
Role in the projectEntity Completing the Project Description	
Contact person	Rohit Lohia
Title	Director
Address	P.O. Box 515000, Sharjah Media City, Sharjah, UAE
Telephone	+91 971093552
Email	rohit.lohia@climate-secure.com

1.7 Ownership

For each PAI, the ownership of the instance shall be clearly demonstrated by virtue of the following:

• Declaration / agreement with end users transferring the ownership rights of the carbon credits generated from the project activity instance (ICS) to the project proponent.



Thus, all VCUs generated from the project activity instances shall be owned by D.light, unless otherwise stated.

1.8 Project Start Date

01 March 2023 (Date for installation of 1st ICS under the project activity).

1.9 Project Crediting Period

First Crediting Period start date: 01 March 2023

First Crediting Period end date: 28 February 2030

Crediting Period Duration: 21 years (7 Years, Renewable twice)

The date of first ICS distribution under the project activity shall mark the start date of crediting period for the project.

1.10 Project Scale and Estimated GHG Emission Reductions or Removals

Project Scale	
Project	
Large project	Х

Year	Estimated GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO2e)
2023	310,164
2024	545,698
2025	521,658
2026	498,196
2027	475,306
2028	452,978
2029	431,204
Total estimated ERs	3,235,203
Total number of crediting years	7
Average annual ERs	462.172



1.11 Description of the Project Activity

The purpose of the project activity is to disseminate energy-efficient improved cookstoves (ICS) to replace existing traditional Cookstoves in domestic households and communities in Uganda.

One of the major causes of deforestation in Uganda is the use of solid biomass for domestic and institutional cooking. Over 90% of households in Uganda use firewood and/or charcoal for cooking, typically charcoal for urban dwellers, and wood for rural households using traditional cooking stoves².

This is further corroborated by the SE4ALL report for Uganda which states the following:

As per SE4ALL³, By the end of 2018, just over 1 percent of Ugandan households were using clean fuel as their primary cooking energy source, typically LPG (0.7 percent) or electricity (0.5 percent). Approximately 95% of households still cook with wood or charcoal. Also, at the end of 2018, only about 1 percent of house- holds in Uganda were estimated to be cooking with charcoal or wood using a high-quality industrial ICS that meets international minimum standards on fuel efficiency and emissions. This is also supported by Uganda DHS 2019-2020 that specifies a whopping 94% population relying on wood or charcoal for cooking with only 5.3% population using clean fuel for cooking (including electricity and others)⁴. Thus, traditional cooking methods are prevalent across the host country. The use of these traditional inefficient biomass stoves is a significant driver of deforestation. Widespread usage of unsustainably sourced, non-renewable biomass (NRB) for cooking results in equivalent GHG emissions attributed to use of non-renewable biomass.

Additionally, the use of solid biomass fuels (e.g., wood) in inefficient traditional stoves and/or open fires releases large amounts of particulate matter (PM), creating hazardous levels of indoor air pollution (IAP). IAP generated by inefficient and poorly ventilated stoves burning biomass fuels is responsible for the deaths of an estimated 3.2 million people annually. Almost half of these deaths occur among children under five years of age. Exposure is high among women and children, who spend the most time near the domestic hearth. Reliance on polluting fuels and technologies also requires significant time for cooking on an inefficient device and gathering and preparing fuel. In developing countries with high mortality rates overall, indoor air pollution ranks fourth in terms of the risk factors that contribute to disease and death (World Health Organization)⁵.

Technology/Measure

The ICS disseminated under the project is designed to improve fuel combustion and heat transfer. It results in the reduction of fuel consumption and improvement in levels of Indoor air pollution for project users (reducing smoke, black soot, and particulate matter (PM)). The reduction in

² Charcoal and Wood Biomass Utilization in Uganda: The Socioeconomic and Environmental Dynamics and Implications, Sustainability 2020, MDPI

³ https://www.seforall.org/system/files/2019-12/Taking-Pulse-Uganda_0.pdf, page 105

⁴ https://www.ubos.org/wp-content/uploads/publications/09 2021Uganda-National-Survey-Report-2019-2020.pdf

⁵ <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/household-air-pollution-and-health</u>



consumption of cooking fuel also reduces greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions attributed to the use of non-renewable biomass.

The project activity includes dissemination of Burn Kunikoa (Firewood) and Burn Jikokoa (Charcoal) ICS⁶. Specification of these example ICS is given below:

Stove Model	Burn Kunikoa (Firewood ICS)	Burn Jikokoa (Charcoal ICS)
Photo	Figure 1 Burn Kunikoa Stove	Figure 2 Burn Jikokoa Stove
Thermal Efficiency	43.4%	53.7%
Estimated Life ⁷	7 Years	7 Years
Weight	3.80 kg	5.18 kg
Fixed/Portable	Portable	Portable
Grate/Chimney	Grate	Grate

In the absence of this project activity, the beneficiaries shall cook primarily using traditional inefficient stoves, perpetuating environmental and health degradation.

The project intends to access carbon finance to mitigate one of the main barriers (price) for end users towards adopting clean cookstoves.

Management Plan and Operational Framework: The project will be implemented according to the following plan:

- **1.** The PP is responsible for general management of the project, including appointing all personnel / groups of personnel involved in the project development and implementation.
- **2.** The distribution of the ICS will be managed via PP's own distribution network or with local distribution partners.

⁶ Stoves are sold based on potential customer demands and preferences. Other models may be included in the Project subsequently, provided they meet the methodological requirement.

⁷ In case of estimated life of project devices is less than the crediting period (7 years renewable twice), then either these devices will be replaced after the end of their expected lifetime span or otherwise, emission reductions will be claimed only till the end-of-life span of the project devices.



- **3.** The PP shall communicate the project beneficiaries during distribution that PP retains exclusive and unconditional rights to the carbon credit generated by project ICS.
- **4.** The PP shall maintain and update the ICS distribution database continuously. The required data collection includes, but is not limited to, the following.
 - (a) Date of distribution
 - (b) Geographic area of distribution
 - (c) Model/type of project ICS distributed.
 - (d) Quantity of project ICS distributed.
 - (e) Name of the beneficiary, address, and telephone number (if available)
 - (f) Unique serial number for each project ICS
 - (g) Primary Baseline fuel / technology being used.
- 5. The information collected will be stored in a database which will serve as the project database for ex-post project sampling and monitoring purposes. Also, this shall serve to avoid any double counting and duplicity of data.
- 6. The PP will coordinate ex-post monitoring activities as follows:
 - a) Implement the monitoring plan,
 - b) Determine the sample size as per sampling plan and identify the samples to be monitored (the sampling plan is detailed below)
 - c) Ex-post monitoring the data will be collected using survey questionnaires designed by experts. The surveys will be carried out by external parties or by project implementer teams experience / trained to conduct such surveys. PP will be responsible for ensuring that staff responsible for monitoring and reporting have received adequate training, as applicable.
 - d) Ensure the quality of monitoring data (QA/QC) as per section 5.2 below. The monitoring results and statistical robustness will be reviewed internally and approved by competent staff.
 - e) Use the data collected for emissions reduction calculations.

The aforesaid plan shall ensure that the project is managed and operated in a standard manner.

1.12 Project Location

Host Country: Uganda

Region/State/Province etc.: All regions of Uganda

City/Town/Community etc.: All cities and towns in Uganda

Physical/Geographical location:



The project location will be the geographical boundary of the Republic of Uganda. Uganda lies between 04°14' N and 01° 29' S latitude and between 35°02' E and 29° 34' E longitude and has a land area of 236,040 sq. km, one of the largest in Africa⁸.

The map of Uganda, for reference purpose, is as follows:



Figure 3: Map of Uganda⁹

1.13 Conditions Prior to Project Initiation

The conditions prior to project initiation are the continued use of non-renewable biomass in traditional/inefficient baseline stoves by the target population to meet equivalent thermal energy needs as provided by project cookstoves in absence of project activity.

1.14 Compliance with Laws, Statutes and Other Regulatory Frameworks

There are no laws and regulations governing the use of improved cookstoves in Uganda.

1.15 Participation under Other GHG Programs

1.15.1 Projects Registered (or seeking registration) under Other GHG Program(s)

The project activity has not been registered, nor is it seeking registration under any other GHG program.

⁸ <u>https://worldpopulationreview.com/countries/uganda/location</u>

⁹ <u>https://www.nationsonline.org/</u>



1.15.2 Projects Rejected by Other GHG Programs

The project activity has not been rejected by any other GHG program.

1.16 Other Forms of Credit

1.16.1 Emissions Trading Programs and Other Binding Limits

The project activity is a voluntary initiative, and it is not to meet any local laws or regulatory compliances. An undertaking has been submitted that PP shall not claim for GHG emission reduction credits for the given crediting period under any other emission-trading program or GHG binding limits.

1.16.2 Other Forms of Environmental Credit

An undertaking has been submitted that PP shall not claim other form of environmental credit for the concerned crediting period under any other emission-trading program.

Supply Chain (Scope 3) Emissions

This is not applicable, as scope 3 emissions are already deemed accounted in leakage emissions. This has been already informed to all the stakeholders involved (including end-users, distributors, retailers etc.) by end-user agreement and signed declaration between PP and distributor to avoid double counting.

1.17 Sustainable Development Contributions

Uganda is one of the United Nations member states that has committed to achieve Sustainable Development Goals. The project contributes to social, environmental, and economic benefits which contribute to sustainable development of the local environment and the country.

SDG	Project Contributions - Justification	Relevant SDG Target	SDG Impact Indicator
1 - No Poverty	EconomicSustainability:Householdexpenditurespurchasedcooking fuel will getreducedwithusageofprojectICSowingtoitsincreased	1.4 By 2030, ensure that all men and women, in particular the poor and the vulnerable, have equal rights to economic resources, as	1.4.1 Proportion of population living in households with access to basic services.
	efficiency. Thus, project ICS shall result in money savings in beneficiary households. The project will distribute ICS and will lead to providing access to	well as access to basic services, ownership and control over land and other forms of property, inheritance, natural	household savings due to decrease in expenditure on basic services such as purchased fuel after

The project contributions to the relevant SDGs are discussed below:



	basic services to the beneficiary households.	resources, appropriate new technology and financial services, including microfinance	shifting to project technology.
3 - Good Health and Well Being	Social Sustainability: The indoor pollutants emissions attributed to inefficient burning of solid biomass fuels in the beneficiary households will be reduced. The project ICS shall aid improved combustion of solid biomass fuel thereby reducing smoke and Particulate matter (PM) emissions. The project will free the beneficiary households from exposure to smoke / PM associated with traditional cooking.	3.9 By 2030, substantially reduce the number of deaths and illnesses from hazardous chemicals and air, water and soil pollution and contamination.	3.9.1 - Mortality rate attributed to household and ambient air pollution. Indicator: % users reporting reduction in smoke/PM after shifting to ICS in project.
4 - Quality Education	Social Sustainability- The project activity is targeting to provide formal and non-formal education and training to local people under the project activity.	4.3 By 2030, ensure equal access for all women and men to affordable and quality technical, vocational, and tertiary education, including university.	4.3.1 Participation rate of youth and adults in formal and non-formal education and training in the previous 12 months, by gender.
	In this project activity, the local distribution team will be trained on improved cookstoves and the end-user HHs will trained about sustainable cooking practices.		Indicator: Number of people receiving formal and non-formal education and training.
5 - Gender Equality	Social Sustainability: The project ICS shall save time in cooking activities (by faster cooking) as well as save time in fuel procurement (reduced collection time or reduced fuel purchase trips to market) due to reduced fuel consumption. This shall save time spent by the women involved in these activities (unpaid work) to be	5.4 Recognize and value unpaid care and domestic work through the provision of public services, infrastructure and social protection policies and the promotion of shared responsibility within the household and the family as nationally appropriate.	 5.4.1 Proportion of time spent on unpaid domestic and care work, by sex, age and location. Indicator: The average time saving associated with cooking and fuel collection time due to adoption of project technology / measures.



	utilized in the same in more productive and income generating tasks. The project will lead to helping beneficiary households in saving time in cooking activities (by faster cooking) as well as fuel procurement (reduced collection time or reduced fuel purchase trips to market).		
7 - Affordable and Clean Energy	Social, Economic Sustainability: The project activity by distributing ICS will provide access to clean cooking with primary reliance on clean fuels and technology. The project ICS will be made available free of cost to beneficiary households. The project will lead to project households getting access to clean cookstoves (ICS) by the end of its lifetime.	7.1 By 2030, ensure universal access to affordable, reliable, and modern energy services.	 7.1.2 Proportion of population with primary reliance on clean fuels and technology. Indicator: 1. Number of Beneficiaries under the project i.e., Number of ICS distributed under the project. 2. % Users reporting operational project stove.
8 - Decent Work and Economic Growth	Economic Sustainability: The project will create local employment opportunities in operational and management roles, as well as in manufacturing, distribution and logistics.	8.5 By 2030, achieve full and productive employment and decent work for all women and men, including for young people and persons with disabilities, and equal pay for work of equal value.	8.5.1 Average hourly earnings of employees, by sex, age, occupation and persons with disabilities Indicator: Number of male / female employment created by project.
13 - Climate Action	Environmental Sustainability: The project by replacing the inefficient traditional stove with the energy-efficient ICS will reduce GHG emissions.	13.2 Integrate climate change measures into national policies, strategies, and planning.	13.2.2 Total greenhouse gas emissions per yearIndicator: Amount of CO₂e emissions avoided or sequestered.
15 - Life on Land	Environmental Sustainability: The project will help reduce the use of non-renewable biomass from forests, thus assist in conserving existing forest stock and the protection of natural forest eco-systems and wildlife habitats.	15.2 By 2020, promote the implementation of sustainable management of all types of forests, halt deforestation, restore degraded forests and substantially increase	 15.2.1 Progress towards sustainable forest management. Indicator: Total non-renewable wood fuel saved after shifting to project device.



	afforestation	and	
	reforestation globally.		

1.18 Additional Information Relevant to the Project

Leakage Management

Not applicable as the project adopts a net gross adjustment factor of 95% to account for leakage.

Commercially Sensitive Information

No commercially sensitive information has been excluded from the public version of the project description.

Further Information

Not Applicable.

2 SAFEGUARDS

2.1 No Net Harm

No potential negative socio-economic impacts are generated by the projects. Some of the Mitigation measures for social and environmental impacts are listed in the table below:

Requirement Description		Relevance (Yes/Potentially/No)	How the Project will Achieve Requirements	Mitigation Measures (if required)
1.	Human Rights			
1.	The Project Developer and the Project shall respect internationally proclaimed human rights and shall not be complicit in violence or human rights abuses of any kind as defined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights	Yes	The Project activity and PP both respect human rights and are not complicit in violence or human rights abuses.	Not Applicable
2.	The Project shall not discriminate with regards to participation and inclusion	Yes	The Project activity does not discriminate with regards to participation and inclusion	Not Applicable
2.	Gender Equity	·	·	
1.	The Project shall not directly or indirectly lead to/contribute to adverse impacts on gender equality	Yes	 The primary beneficiaries of the Project activity, include domestic households, where 	Not Applicable



and/	or the situation of		women usually serve	
wom	ien		as primary cook.	
a. Se	exual harassment		Thus, ICSs shall	
ar	nd/or any forms of		contribute towards	
vie	olence against women		Gender equality by	
_	address the multiple		freeing the women	
ris	sks of gender-based		from the drudgery of	
vi	olence, including sexual		fuel collection and	
ex	noitation or human		cooking The time	
tra	afficking		saved in these	
	avery imprisonment		activities would also	
0. 01 nt	avery, imprisonment,		holp them to conduct	
hi hi			ather mare productive	
ur	udgery, punishment or		other more productive	
CC	bercion of women and		/income generation	
gi	ris.		activities. This would	
c. Re	estriction of women's		further contribute	
rig	ghts or access to		towards Gender	
re	sources (natural or		Equality.	
ec	conomic).	2.	PP does not	
d. Re	ecognise women's		discriminate on the	
OV	vnership rights		basis on gender and	
re	gardless of marital		promotes equal work	
st	atus – adopt project		for equal pay, PP	
m	easures where possible		further confirms that	
to	support to women's		the average wages /	
ac	ccess to inherit and own		remunerations paid to	
la	nd, homes, and other		the employees	
as	ssets or natural		working with PP is at /	
re	sources.		above par with the	
10			inductor ctandarde	
2 Proie	ects shall apply the		and in compliance	
nrinc	ciples of non-		with the minimum	
disci	rimination equal		were rete prescribed	
treat	ment and equal nav		wage fale prescribed	
for a	anal work		by the state / local	
	Whore appropriate for		government	
a. v	he implementation of		regulations.	
LI + I		3.	The project complies	
l	ne project, paid,		with National Women	
V	olunteer work or		Development &	
C	ommunity contributions		Gender Policy and	
W	/iii be organised to		does not limit women	
p	rovide the conditions		access to or control of	
TC	or equitable		resources,	
р	articipation of men and		entitlements, and	
W	omen in the identified		benefits. On the	
ta	asks/activities.		contrary, the PA	
b. Ir	ntroduce conditions		ameliorates the living	
tł	hat ensure the		condition of women by	
р	articipation of women		freeing them from the	
0	r men in Project		burden of biomass	
а	ctivities and benefits		fuel collection,	
b	ased on pregnancy,		decreasing smoke	
n	naternity/paternity		levels and incidence	
le	eave, or marital status.		of respiratory illness	
c. E	insure that these		relative to cooking on	
C	onditions do not limit		traditional biomass	
tł	he access of women or			1



	men, as the case may be, to Project activity participation and benefits.		stoves using solid biomass fuel. 4. Not Required	
3.	The Project shall refer to the country's national gender strategy or equivalent national commitment to aid in assessing gender risks			
4.	(Where required) Summary of opinions and recommendations of an Expert Stakeholder(s)			
3.0	community Health, Safety and	Working Conditions		
1.	The Project shall avoid community exposure to increased health risks and shall not adversely affect the health of the workers and the community	Yes	The Project activity reduces exposure to indoor air pollutants and smoke levels, further reducing incidence of respiratory illness compared to cooking on traditional biomass stoves using solid biomass fuel.	
4.1	. Sites of Cultural and Historica	l Heritage		
1.	Does the Project Area include sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional, or religious values or intangible forms of culture?	No, the ICSs are installed in the kitchen of beneficiary households. The ICSs do not interfere with any sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional, or religious values or intangible forms of culture	Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
4.2	Proced Eviction and Displacer	nent		
1.	Does the Project require or cause the physical or economic relocation of peoples (temporary or permanent, full or partial)?	No, the project ICS are installed in the kitchens of the household and hence any possibilities of forced eviction/physical relocation of people due to the project is not applicable. The PP collaborates with the local entrepreneurs for fabrication as well as installation of project ICS thereby empowering them economically	Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable



		rather than relocation /		
4.3	Land Tenure and Other Rights			
2.	Does the Project require any change, or have any uncertainties related to land tenure arrangements and/or access rights, usage rights or land ownership? For Projects involving land use tenure, are there any uncertainties with regards to land tenure, access rights, usage rights or land ownership?	No, refer justification for 4.1,4.2 above.	Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
4.4			Desuvinens ente de net	Net Annie also
1.	Are indigenous peoples present in or within the area of influence of the Project and/or is the Project located on land/territory claimed by indigenous peoples?	No, the project does not involve any land/territory claim. The ICS are installed in the project beneficiary households at their discretion.	Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
5.0	Corruption			
1.	Ine Project shall not involve, be complicit in or inadvertently contribute to or reinforce corruption or corrupt Projects	Yes	/ or is complicit in direct or indirect corruption.	Not Applicable
6.1	Labour Rights			
2.	The Project Developer shall ensure that all employment is in compliance with national labour occupational health and safety laws and with the principles and standards embodied in the ILO fundamental conventions Workers shall be able to establish and join labour organisations Working agreements with all individual workers shall be documented and implemented and include: a. Working hours (must not exceed 48 hours per week on a regular basis), AND b. Duties and tasks, AND c. Remuneration (must include provision for payment of overtime), AND		 The Project activity does not involve any forced labour and the PP ensures that all employment is in compliance with local labour regulations and laws. The PP puts no constraints / limitations on employees to form a union. The CME/PI's policies (i.e. "Safeguarding Policy", "Inclusivity, Non-discrimination & Harassment Policy", "Child Labour Policy", "Code of Business Ethics Policy", "Anti- Corruption policy", etc. and employment contracts are compliant with the requirement 	



	d Modalities on health		4 The DD does not	
			4. The PP does not	
	Madalitian an		promote / or is	
	e. Modalities off		complicit in child	
	termination of the		labour	
	contract with provision		5. No, Major	
	for voluntary		occupational hazards	
	resignation by		are envisaged during	
	employee, AND		the operation of the	
	f. Provision for annual		project activity	
	leave of not less than		project activity.	
	10 days per year not			
	including sick and			
	casual leave			
Л	No child labour is allowed			
4.	(Eventions for shildren			
	(Exceptions for children			
	working on their families			
	property requires an Expert			
	<u>Stakeholder</u> opinion)			
5.	The Project Developer shall			
	ensure the use of			
	appropriate equipment,			
	training of workers,			
	documentation and			
	reporting of accidents and			
	incidents, and emergency			
	preparedness and response			
	measures			
6.2	Negative Economic Outcomes	;		
1.	Does the project cause	No, the project will	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
		and the second sec		
	negative economic	rather contribute to	apply	
	consequences during and	money and time saving	apply	
	consequences during and	money and time saving	apply	
	consequences during and after project implementation?	money and time saving because of the reduced	арріу	
	consequences during and after project implementation?	nather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption.	арріу	
	consequences during and after project implementation?	money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected	apply	
	after project implementation?	rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive	арріу	
7 1	consequences during and after project implementation?	rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive.	арріу	
7.1	Emissions	rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive.	apply Bequirements do not	Not Applicable
7.1 1.	Emissions Will the Project increase	rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive.	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
7.1 1.	Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions	nather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive.	apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1.	Regative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario?	 rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased officiency of the 1000 	apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1.	Regative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario?	 rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. 	apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 7.2	Image: The second mice of the second mi	No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS.	apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 7.2 1.	Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? Energy Resource Will the Project use energy	 rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project does not 	Requirements do not apply Requirements do not	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 7.2 1.	Image: The gative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? Energy Resource Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power	 rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project does not use or intervene with 	apply Requirements do not apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 7.2 1.	Image: The grative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? Energy Resource Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected	 rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project does not use or intervene with the fuel type used in 	apply Requirements do not apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 7.2 1.	Image: The grative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? Energy Resource Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected to a national or regional	 rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project does not use or intervene with the fuel type used in baseline scenario. In 	apply Requirements do not apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 7.2 1.	Image: The grative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? Energy Resource Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected to a national or regional grid) or fuel resource (such	 rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project does not use or intervene with the fuel type used in baseline scenario. In fact, the project 	apply Requirements do not apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 1.	Image: The grative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? Energy Resource Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected to a national or regional grid) or fuel resource (such as wood, biomass) that	 No, the project shall reduced GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project does not use or intervene with the fuel type used in baseline scenario. In fact, the project minimizes the 	apply Requirements do not apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 7.2 1.	Image: The grative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? Energy Resource Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected to a national or regional grid) or fuel resource (such as wood, biomass) that provides for other local	 No, the project shall reduced efficiency of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project does not use or intervene with the fuel type used in baseline scenario. In fact, the project minimizes the consumption and 	apply Requirements do not apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 7.2 1.	Image: The grative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? Energy Resource Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected to a national or regional grid) or fuel resource (such as wood, biomass) that provides for other local users?	No, the project does not use or intervene with the fuel type used in baseline scenario. In fact, the project shall the reduce GHG the state of the increased efficiency of the ICS.	apply Requirements do not apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 1.	Image: The gative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? Energy Resource Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected to a national or regional grid) or fuel resource (such as wood, biomass) that provides for other local users?	 rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project does not use or intervene with the fuel type used in baseline scenario. In fact, the project minimizes the consumption and thereby reduces the emission by virtue of the 	apply Requirements do not apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 1.	Image: The gative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? Energy Resource Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected to a national or regional grid) or fuel resource (such as wood, biomass) that provides for other local users?	 rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project does not use or intervene with the fuel type used in baseline scenario. In fact, the project minimizes the consumption and thereby reduces the emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of 	apply Requirements do not apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 1.	Image: The grative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? Energy Resource Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected to a national or regional grid) or fuel resource (such as wood, biomass) that provides for other local users?	 rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project does not use or intervene with the fuel type used in baseline scenario. In fact, the project minimizes the consumption and thereby reduces the emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. 	apply Requirements do not apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 1. 8.1	Image: The generative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? Energy Resource Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected to a national or regional grid) or fuel resource (such as wood, biomass) that provides for other local users? Impact on Natural Water Patter	 rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project does not use or intervene with the fuel type used in baseline scenario. In fact, the project minimizes the consumption and thereby reduces the emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. 	apply Requirements do not apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable
7.1 1. 7.2 1. 8.1 1.	Image: The graph of the project of the project of the project increase of the project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected to a national or regional grid) or fuel resource (such as wood, biomass) that provides for other local users? Impact on Natural Water Patter Will the Project affect the	 rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project does not use or intervene with the fuel type used in baseline scenario. In fact, the project minimizes the consumption and thereby reduces the emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. 	apply Requirements do not apply Requirements do not apply Requirements do not apply	Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable
7.1 1. 7.2 1. 8.1 1.	Inegative economic consequences during and after project implementation? Emissions Will the Project increase greenhouse gas emissions over the Baseline Scenario? Energy Resource Will the Project use energy from a local grid or power supply (i.e., not connected to a national or regional grid) or fuel resource (such as wood, biomass) that provides for other local users? Impact on Natural Water Patter Will the Project affect the natural or pre-existing	 rather contribute to money and time saving because of the reduced fuel consumption. Therefore, the economic outcomes are expected to be positive. No, the project shall reduce GHG emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. No, the project does not use or intervene with the fuel type used in baseline scenario. In fact, the project minimizes the consumption and thereby reduces the emission by virtue of the increased efficiency of the ICS. 	Apply Requirements do not Apply Requirements do not Apply Requirements do not Apply Requirements do not Apply	Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable



				1
	pattern of watercourses,	project cookstoves		
	ground-water and/or the	operation are same as		
	watershed(s) such as high	baseline scenario since		
	seasonal flow variability,	there is no fuel change.		
	flooding potential, lack of			
	aquatic connectivity or	This rule out any		
	water scarcity?	additional potential		
		impact on natural or		
		pre-existing pattern of		
		watercourses,		
		groundwater and/or the		
		watershed(s) such as		
		high seasonal flow		
		variability, flooding		
		potential, lack of		
		aquatic connectivity or		
		water scarcity?		
		water obtroity.		
8.2	Erosion and/or Water Body In	stability		
1.	Could the Project directly or	No, The Project activity	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
	indirectly cause additional	shall result in reduction	apply	
	erosion and/or water body	in demand of biomass		
	instability or disrupt the	fuel in the region		
	natural pattern of erosion?	putting less pressure on		
2.	Is the Project's area of	forests for deforestation		
	influence susceptible to	and will hence indirectly		
	excessive erosion and/or	avoid erosion		
	water body instability?	associated with tree		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	cutting/ felling.		
9.1	Landscape Modification and S	Soil	•	
1.	Does the Project involve the	No, project ICSs shall be	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
	use of land and soil for	installed in the	apply	
	production of crops or other	kitchen/cooking area of		
	products?	the beneficiary.		
9.2	Vulnerability to Natural Disast	er		
1.	Will the Project be	No such consequences	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
	susceptible to or lead to	are envisaged.	apply	
	increased vulnerability to	C		
	wind, earthquakes,			
	subsidence, landslides.			
	erosion, flooding, drought,			
	or other extreme climatic			
	conditions?			
9.3	Genetic Resources			
1.	Could the Project be	No, the project	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
	negatively impacted by or	intervenes only with	apply	i province
	involve genetically modified	design of the baseline		
	organisms or GMOs (e ø	stoves. It does not carry		
	contamination collection	out any change in		
	and/or harvesting	baseline fuel or that		
	commercial development	may add additional		
	or take place in facilities or	nollutant to in the air		
1				
I	tarms that include (=Mille in			
	tarms that include GMOs in			
	their processes and			



1.	Could the Project potentially	No, the project	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
	result in the release of	intervenes only with	apply	
	pollutants to the	design of the baseline	11.5	
	environment?	stoves It does not carry		
		out any change in		
		bacolino fuol or that		
		baseline ruer or that		
0.5		pollutant to in the air.		
9.5	Hazardous and Non-hazardou			
1.	Will the Project involve the	No, the Project does not	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
	manufacture, trade,	involve the	apply	
	release, and/ or use of	manufacture, trade,		
	hazardous and non-	release, and/ or use of		
	hazardous chemicals	hazardous and non-		
	and/or materials?	hazardous chemicals		
		and/or materials?		
9.6	S Pesticides & Fertilisers	· · · ·	·	
1.	Will the Project involve the	No, the Project does not	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
	application of pesticides	involve the application	apply	
	and/or fertilisers?	of pesticides and/or		
		fertilisers		
9.7	' Harvesting of Forests			
1.	Will the Project involve the	No, The Project activity	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
	harvesting of forests?	does not involve	aply	
	5	harvesting of forests.	11.5	
		The Project shall result		
		in reduction in demand		
		of biomass fuel in the		
		region putting less		
		proceure on foreste		
		for deferentiation and		
		will nence indirectly		
		avoid erosion		
		associated with tree		
	-	cutting/ felling.		
9.8	s Food			
1.	Does the Project modify the	No, the project does not	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
	quantity or nutritional	intervene with the	apply	
	quality of food available	baseline food or other		
	such as through crop	edible items.		
1	regime alteration or export			
	or economic incentives?			
9.9	Animal Raising			
1.	Will the Project involve	No, the project will not	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
	animal husbandry?	involve animal	apply	
L		husbandry		
9.1	O High Conservation Value Are	as and Critical Habitation		
1.	Does the Project physically	No, the ICS are going to	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
	affect or alter largely intact	decrease the baseline	apply	
	or High Conservation Value	emission level solely		
	(HCV) ecosystems, critical	due to improvements		
	habitats, landscapes, kev	done on the kev design		
1	biodiversity areas or sites	element of the baseline		
1	identified?	stove. It does not		
1		involve change in		
			1	



9 11 Threatened Species	other major interventions that may add additional pollutant to in the air. Thus, the project does not affect or alter the largely intact or High Conservation Value (HCV) ecosystems, critical habitats, landscapes, key biodiversity areas or sites identified.		
Are there any endangered	No, the ICS are going to	Requirements do not	Not Applicable
species identified as potentially	decrease the baseline	apply	
being present within the Project	emission level solely		
boundary (including those that	due to improvements		
may route through the area)?	done on the key design		
	element of the baseline		
and/or	stove. It does not		
	involve change in		
Does the Project potentially	baseline fuel or does		
impact other areas where	any other major		
endangered species may be	interventions that may		
present through transboundary	add additional pollutant		
effects?	to in the air.		
	Therefore the project		
	implementation does		
	not page any threat to		
	the endengered energies		
	the endangered species		
	prevalent in that area.		

2.2 Local Stakeholder Consultation

The local stakeholder consultation (LSC) meeting for "D. LIGHT'S IMPROVED COOKING PROJECT IN UGANDA" is scheduled to be held on 2nd March 2023 and this shall be updated after the local stakeholder consultation meeting.

2.3 Environmental Impact

No negative environmental impacts have been identified from the project and environmental impact assessment (EIA) is not required for the project.

2.4 Public Comments

This shall be updated after closure of the public consultation period.

2.5 AFOLU-Specific Safeguards

This section is not applicable as the project is a non-AFOLU project.

3 APPLICATION OF METHODOLOGY

3.1 Title and Reference of Methodology

VMR0006: Methodology for Installation of High Efficiency Firewood Cookstoves, Version 1.1 dated 22 July 2021,

https://verra.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/VMR0006-Methodology-for-Installation-of-High-Efficiency-Firewood-Cookstoves-v1.1.pdf

For calculation of fraction of non-renewable biomass, the below tool is used "TOOL30: Calculation of the fraction of non-renewable biomass", <u>https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/tools/am-tool-30-v3.0.pdf</u>

3.2 Applicability of Methodology

Applicability criterion	Project Activity Applicability			
Project activities shall be implemented in domestic premises, or in community-based kitchens	The proposed project involves deployment of ICS in domestic households and communities in Uganda.			
The project stove shall have specified high-power thermal efficiency of at least 25% per the manufacturer's specifications and shall exclusively use woody biomass and can be single pot or multi-pot;	ICS planned to be distributed under the project are energy efficiency cookstove using woody biomass as fuel and have an efficiency more than 25%.			
Both 'Projects' and 'Large Projects' can use this methodology	The proposed project is a large-scale project as the annual emission reduction is more than 300,000 tonnes of CO2e per year which is in line with the section 3.9.1 of VCS standard version 4.3.			
Non-renewable biomass has been used in the project region since 31 December 1989, using	Non-renewable biomass has been in use since 31 December 1989 as evidenced by various FAO statistical data given below Area (1000 hectares)			

The applicability of VMR0006 methodology is justified as below:



				-		-		
survey methods or referring to published literature, official		FR cat	4 2020 egories ¹⁰	1990	2000	2010	2020	
reports	s, or statistics	Fo	est	3,575.47	3,162.95	2,750.42	2,337.90	
		Oti Iar	ler wooded d	3,393.88	3,400.82	3,719.91	3,234.32	
		Oth	ier land	13,082.65	13,488.23	13,581.67	14,479.78	
		Tot	al Land	20,052.00	20,052.00	20,052.00	20,052.00	
		Uga aver 202 hect	nda lost an a age annual 0. In total, ares.	average of 4. deforestatic Uganda los	1,252 hecta on rate of 1.1 t 34.61% of	res of forest 154% betwee its forest c	per year with en 1990 and or 1,237,470	
For the specific case of biomass residues processed as a fuel (e.g., briquettes, wood chips), it			In the case where processed biomass residues are used in the project, the following will be ensured:1. It is renewable biomass.					
1.	It is produced using exclusively renewable biomass (more than one type of biomass may be used).	 Its consumption will be monitored. If renewable biomass processing (e.g., shredding a compacting in the case of briquetting) occurs in processe, the energy consumption for manufacturing a transportation of renewal biomass fuel (briquettes) 					ding and s in project ıring and uettes) will	
2.	The consumption of the fuel should be monitored during the crediting period and	be monitored to calculate project emissions.						
3.	Energy use for renewable biomass processing (e.g., shredding and compacting in the case of briquetting) may be considered as equivalent to the upstream emissions associated with the processing of the displaced fossil fuel and hence disregarded							

¹⁰ https://www.fao.org/3/cb0037en/cb0037en.pdf



The VCS PD shall explain the proposed method for distribution of project devices including the method to avoid double counting of emission reductions such as unique identifications of product and end-user locations (e.g., programme logo).	 The ICS installation database will have the following provisions to confirm complete avoidance of double counting: 1. Unique serial number of ICS distributed 2. End users' details (End username, address, and/or telephone number (if available))
The VCS PD shall also explain how the proposed procedures prevent double counting of emission reductions, for example to avoid that project stove manufacturers, wholesale providers or others claim credit for emission reductions from the project devices.	At the time of ICS distribution, each ICS beneficiary transfers the rights of credit ownership to PP via an agreement or disclaimed via clear statement on the product packing or warranty card thereby avoiding any subsequent double claim on ownership of credits by other entities.

The applicability of tool 30 is justified as below:

Applicability criterion	Project Activity Applicability
 This tool may be used by: a) DNAs to submit region/country-specific default fNRB values, following the procedures for development, revision, clarification, and update of standardized baselines (SB procedures); or b) project participants to calculate project or PoA-specific fNRB values. 	This tool is used by the project participants to calculate a project specific value for fNRB under option (b) of applicability condition 1.
For project or PoA specific fNRB values, project participants shall assess the area where biomass is sourced for end-users included in the project activity and justify the selection of the area in project design documents.	The area for the calculated value is selected within the geographical boundary of Project.

3.3 Project Boundary

As per the applied methodology, the project boundary is the physical and geographical location of all equipment and systems affected by the project activity. As the activity involves distribution



of ICS (Improved Cookstove), the project boundary is the physical, geographical location of each household where the ICS (Improved Cookstove) is distributed to replace the traditional stove.

Source		Gas	Included?	Justification/Explanation
Baseline	Baseline Emission from use of non- renewable biomass/Fossil fuel	CO2	Yes	Major Source
		CH_4	Yes	Major Source
		N ₂ O	Yes	Major Source
		Other	No	No other source identified
Project	t Emission from use	CO2	Yes	Major Source
of non-renewable biomass/Fossil fuel	CH ₄	Yes	Major Source	
	N ₂ O	Yes	Major Source	
	Other	No	No other source identified	

A representation of baseline and project boundary are given as below:



3.4 Baseline Scenario

As per methodology, "The baseline scenario is the continued use of non-renewable wood fuel (firewood/charcoal) or fossil fuel (coal/kerosene) by the target population to meet similar thermal energy needs as provided by project cook stoves in absence of project activity."

3.5 Additionality

Step 1: Regulatory Surplus

The proposed project is a voluntary coordinated action by D. light, and there is no mandated government programme or policy in the host country of this project ensuring the distribution of domestic fuel-efficient cookstoves. The project is not mandated by any law, statute, or other regulatory framework, or for UNFCCC non-Annex I countries, any systematically enforced law, statute, or other regulatory framework (refer section 1.14 above).

Step 2: Positive List

Under the proposed project, project ICS shall be distributed at a subsidized price to end users. Although some revenue will be generated from the distribution of ICS, it is relatively small compared to the total cost to CME for delivering ICS to a beneficiary (including product cost, distribution and logistics cost, data collection cost etc.). Thus, the project activity is not financially viable without the support of carbon revenues from sale of VCUs and are additional.

3.6 Methodology Deviations

The project does not involve any methodological deviations.

4 QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS

4.1 Baseline Emissions

The methodology does not account for baseline emissions separately, but instead quantifies net emission reductions achieved by the project. Please refer to Section 4.4.

4.2 Project Emissions

The methodology does not account for project emissions separately, but instead quantifies net emission reductions achieved by the project. Please refer to Section 4.4.

4.3 Leakage

Leakage shall be considered as default 0.95 in accordance with methodology.

4.4 Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

As per applicable methodology, formula to calculate emission reduction (ERs) is:

$$ER_y = \sum_i \sum_j ER_{y,i,j}$$

(1)

Where:

i Indices for the situation where more than one type/model of improved cook stove is introduced to replace the baseline stove

j Indices for the situation where there is more than one batch of improved cook stove of type i

 ER_y Emission reductions during year y in t CO₂e

 $ER_{y,i,j}$ = Emission reductions by improved cook stove of type i and batch j during year y in t CO₂e

 $ER_{y,i,j} = B_{y,savings,i,j} \times f_{NRB,y} \times NCV_{wood\ fuel} \times (EF_{wf,CO2} + EF_{wf,non\ CO2}) \times N_{y,i,j} \times 0.95$ (2)

Where:

 $B_{y,savings,i,j}$ Quantity of woody biomass that is saved in tonnes per improved cook stove of type i and batch j during year y

 $f_{NRB,v}$ Fraction of woody biomass that can be established as non-renewable biomass (f_NRB)

NCV_{wood fuel} Net calorific value of the non-renewable woody biomass that is substituted or reduced (IPCC default for wood fuel, 0.0156 TJ/tonne)

 $EF_{wf,CO2}$ CO₂ emission factor for the use of wood fuel in baseline scenario (IPCC default for wood fuel, 112 tCO₂/TJ)

 $EF_{wf,non CO2}$ Non-CO₂ emission factor for the use of wood fuel in baseline scenario (IPCC default for wood fuel, 26.23 tCO₂/TJ)

 $N_{y,i,j}$ Number of improved cook stoves of type i and batch j operating during year y

0.95 Discount factor to account for leakage

The quantity of woody biomass saved due to implementation of improved cookstoves to be estimated using equation below:

$$B_{y,savings,i,j} = B_{y=1,new,i,survey} \times \left(\frac{\eta_{new,y,i,j}}{\eta_{old}} - 1\right)$$
(4)

Where:

 $B_{y=1,new,i,survey}$ Annual quantity of woody biomass used by improved cookstoves in tonnes per device of type i and batch j, determined in the first year of the implementation of the project through a sample survey.

 η_{old} Efficiency of baseline cookstove

 $\eta_{new,i,y}$ Efficiency of the improved cook stove type i and batch j determined through water boiling test (WBT). efficiency may be determined using Equation 5.

$$\eta_{new,i,\nu} = \eta_{\nu} \times (DF_n)^{\nu-1} \times 0.94$$
(5)



Where:

 η_p Efficiency of project stove (fraction) at the start of project activity

 $(DF_n)^{\gamma-1}$ Discount factor to account for efficiency loss of project cookstove per year of operation (fraction). This value may be based on actual monitoring or based on manufacturer's declaration on expected loss in efficiency or through publicly available literature on relevant industry standards. Alternatively, a default value of 0.99 efficiency loss per year can be considered.

Year	Estimated baseline emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Estimated project emissions or removals (tCO ₂ e)	Estimated leakage emissions (tCO2e)	Estimated net GHG emission reductions or removals (tCO ₂ e)
2023	310,164	0	0	310,164
2024	545,698	0	0	545,698
2025	521,658	0	0	521,658
2026	498,196	0	0	498,196
2027	475,306	0	0	475,306
2028	452,978	0	0	452,978
2029	431,204	0	0	431,204
Total	3,235,203	0	0	3,235,203

0.94 Adjustment factor to account for uncertainty related to project cookstove efficiency test

5 MONITORING

5.1 Data and Parameters Available at Validation

The following parameters are fixed for the entire crediting period using default values as per the methodology:

 $\eta_{new,i,i}$ Fixed ex-ante using default discount factor as per methodology in equation (5)

 η_{old} Fixed ex-ante using default values as per the methodology.

*NCV*_{biomass} Fixed ex-ante using default values as per the methodology.

Data / Parameter	ηρ
Data unit	Fraction
Description	Efficiency of project stove at the start of project activity



Source of data	Manufacturer's specification
Value applied	0.434 for Burn's Kunikoa(firewood) 0.537 for Burn's Likokoa(charcoal)
Justification of choice of	As per the specification sheet provided by the manufacturer
data or description of	
measurement methods	
and procedures applied	
Purpose of Data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Comments	In case of inclusion of other/new models, during project lifetime,
	corresponding thermal efficiency values shall be used for ER
	Calculations

Data / Parameter	f _{NRB}
Data unit	Fraction
Description	Fraction of woody biomass that can be established as non-renewable biomass
Source of data	Calculated
Value applied	0.9062
Justification of choice of	Calculated as per the "TOOL30: Calculation of the fraction of non-
data or description of	renewable biomass"
measurement methods	
and procedures applied	
Purpose of Data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Comments	

Data / Parameter	NCVwood fuel
Data unit	TJ/tonne
Description	Net calorific value of the non-renewable woody biomass
Source of data	Default value (VMR0006 ver. 1.1, page 10)
Value applied	0.0156
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	IPCC default for wood fuel, based on the gross weight of the wood that is 'air-dried', may be used if fuel used in the project device is woody biomass/renewable biomass.
Purpose of Data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Comments	-

Data / Parameter	EF _{wf,CO2}
Data unit	tCO ₂ /TJ



Description	CO ₂ Emission factor for the fossil fuels projected to be used for substitution of non-renewable woody biomass
Source of data	Default value (VMR0006 ver. 1.1, page 10)
Value applied	112
Justification of choice of	IPCC default value for wood fuel
data or description of	
measurement methods	
and procedures applied	
Purpose of Data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Comments	

Data / Parameter	EFwf,non CO2
Data unit	tCO ₂ /TJ
Description	CO_2 Emission factor for the fossil fuels projected to be used for
	substitution of non-renewable woody biomass
Source of data	Default value (VMR0006 ver. 1.1, page 10)
Value applied	26.23
Justification of choice of	IPCC default value for wood fuel
data or description of	
measurement methods	
and procedures applied	
Purpose of Data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Comments	-

Data / Parameter	η _{old}
Data unit	Fraction
Description	Efficiency of baseline cookstove
Source of data	Default value
Value applied	0.10 for Firewood 0.20 for Charcoal
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	A default value of 0.1 shall be used if the baseline device is a three-stone fire using firewood (not charcoal), or a conventional device with no improved combustion air supply or flue gas ventilation, that is, without a grate or a chimney. A default value of 0.2 shall be used for other types of devices. Further as per the methodology, this value has been fixed for each household that receives project ICS, during the project crediting period
Purpose of Data	Calculation of baseline emissions



Comments

To be updated at renewal of crediting period

Data / Parameter	DFn
Data unit	Fraction
Description	Discount factor to account for efficiency loss of project cookstove per year of operation (fraction).
Source of data	Default value
Value applied	0.99
Justification of choice of	As per the applied methodology
data or description of	
measurement methods	
and procedures applied	
Purpose of Data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Comments	

Data / Parameter	ηnew,i,j		
Data unit	Percentage		
Description	Efficiency of the device of each type i and batch j implemented as part of the project activity		
Source of data	Calculation		
Value applied	As per equation (5) above the applicable efficiency of two example ICS models ¹¹ to be used in Ex-post ER calculations is as follows:		
	Age of stove	Burn Kuniokoa	Burn Jikokoa
		(firewood)	(charcoal)
	0-1 year	40.8%	50.5%
	1-2 year	40.4%	50.0%
	2-3 year	40.0%	49.5%
	3-4 year 39.6% 4-5 year 39.2%		49.0%
			48.5%
	5-6 year	38.8%	48.0%
	6-7 year	38.4%	47.5%
Justification of choice of data or description of measurement methods and procedures applied	To adopt Option V given in the methodology: "Efficiency of the improved cookstoves to be estimated using equation 5 of applied approved methodology where loss in efficiency per year is calculated, and therefore this parameter does not need to be monitored"		
Purpose of Data	Calculation of baseline emissions		

¹¹In case the project involves ICS models other than the one listed above; its corresponding thermal efficiency value calculated in accordance with equation (5) shall be used.



Comments

In case of inclusion of other/new models, during the project lifetime, corresponding thermal efficiency values shall be used for Ex-post ER calculations.

5.2 Data and Parameters Monitored

The following parameters as per section 9.2 of the methodology are deemed not applicable:

η _{new,i,j}	Fixed ex-ante using default discount factor as per methodology in equation (5)
η _{old}	Fixed ex-ante using default values as per the methodology
B _{old}	Applicable only in case of use of equation (3)
B _{renewable,y}	Applicable only in case of use of equation (7)
$\eta_{PJ,BL}$	Applicable only in case of use of equation (7)

Fixed ex-ante using default values as per the methodology NCV_{biomass} Data / Parameter Ny,i,j Data unit Number Description Number of project devices of type i and batch j operating during year y Source of data Installation database and monitoring survey Description of CME shall maintain a database of all ICS distributed. The usage rate of installed ICS shall be measured based on a representative measurement methods sample. CDM Sampling standard shall be used for determining the and procedures to be sample size to achieve 90/10 confidence precision according to applied the latest version of CDM Standard for sampling and surveys for project activities and programme of activities. Alternatively, the simplified approach proposed in option (b), page 12 under Section 8.4 of the methodology may be used for determining the minimum sample size in which case compliance with 90/10 confidence precision is not obligatory. Frequency of At least once every two years monitoring/recording

Value applied	120,000		
Monitoring equipment	Sampling based Monitoring survey		
QA/QC procedures to be applied	In the case the desired precision is not met, lower bound values shall be used against repeating the survey to determine the operational fraction of ICS		
Purpose of data	Calculation of emission reductions		
Calculation method	The results from sample-based monitoring will be used to calculate N y,i,j as follows		



	$N_{y,i,j} = (n_{i,j,operational} / n_{i,j,total}) * N_{y,i,j,distributed}$ Where: N = number of stoves n = number of samples
Comments	During the stove distribution, the presence of existing project ICS, if any, shall be monitored and in case an existing project ICS is found in the same household, the subsequent (second) ICS will not be included in the ER calculations.
	Alternatively, the presence of multiple project ICS in a household may be determined ex-post during sampling surveys and the total ICS population shall be discounted by the fraction of sampled households found using more than one project ICS.

Data / Parameter	By=1,new,i,j,survey
Data unit	tonnes/device/year
Description	Annual quantity of woody biomass used by improved cookstoves in tonnes per device of type I and batch j, determined in the first year of the implementation of the project through a sample survey
Source of data	Monitoring survey
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	Minimum sample size of each type i and batch j should be in line with the latest version of Standard for sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities or guidelines provided in methodology Section 8.4 option (b). Determined in the first year of the introduction of the devices (e.g. during the first year of the crediting period, y=1) through measurement campaigns at representative households and/or sample survey. Sample surveys to estimate this parameter, that are solely based on questionnaires or interviews (i.e. that do not implement measurement campaigns) may only be used if the following conditions are satisfied. (i) Baseline cookstoves have been completely decommissioned and only improved cookstoves are exclusively used in the project households; (ii) If multiple devices are used in the project; it is possible from the results of the survey questions to clearly differentiate the quantity of woody biomass being used by each device. In other words, if more than one device, or another device that consumes woody biomass, are in use in project households, then the sample survey needs to distinguish the quantity of woody biomass used by the project
Frequency of	device and the other devices that use woody biomass
monitoring/recording	betermined in the mat year of project implementation
Value applied	For ex-ante calculation purpose, the value is assumed as 0.80 tonnes/device/year for firewood 1.95 tonnes/device/year for charcoal



Monitoring equipment	Monitoring survey
QA/QC procedures to be applied	
Purpose of Data	Calculation of baseline emissions
Calculation method	
Comments	

Data / Parameter	Life Span
Data unit	Number of years
Description	Project promoters to state the operating lifetime of the project device for projects opting Equation 5 for determining project stove efficiency
Source of data	Manufacturer's specification
Description of measurement methods and procedures to be applied	The cookstoves will be manufactured to match the fixed design specification. This will ensure that ICS delivered to project households is standardized with respect to the dimensions and performance.
Frequency of	Once at the time of project stove installation
monitoring/recording	
Value applied	7.0 years
Monitoring equipment	-
QA/QC procedures to be	-
applied	
Purpose of data	Calculation of emission reductions
Calculation method	-
Comments	In case of inclusion of other/new models, during project lifetime, corresponding thermal efficiency values shall be used for ER calculations. Also, the PP shall either replace the ICS after the end of its life with an equivalent device to continue claim credits or otherwise shall cease claiming credits after the rated lifespan.

5.3 Monitoring Plan

The monitoring plan is based on the applied methodology VMR0006, Version V1.1.

Sampling plan

Due to the large number of ICS envisioned to be distributed as part of the project activity, it is not economically feasible to monitor each individual ICS unit distributed. Therefore, representative sampling will be undertaken as part of a cross-PA Sampling Plan in line with the requirements of



the methodology applied and the Standard: Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities, version 9.0

Sample sizes will be sufficient to ensure that the precision of the sample means/proportions are in accordance with the methodological / standard requirements. In cases where survey results indicate that desired precision is not achieved, the lower bound value of the corresponding confidence interval of the parameter value may be used as an alternative to repeating the survey. Alternatively, the survey may be expanded to reach the required confidence/precision, unless option (b) on page 12 of the VMR0006 is used.

The sampling methodology will be in accordance with the representative sampling methods provided by the sampling guidelines and standards as indicated along this section, with the applied methodology having precedence.

Sampling Methodology

Sampling Objective – The sampling objective for each parameter is to determine, via sampling survey, a statistically significant parameter value for the emission reduction calculations. These parameters are as listed in section 5.2:

No.	Monitoring Parameters	Sampling Parameters	Parameter type	Monitoring frequency
1	N _y ,i,j	Proportion of operational ICS	Proportion	Biennially
2	By=1, new,i,j,survey	Quantity of woody biomass used by project devices	Mean	First year of project implementation

Field Measurement Objective and data to be collected – As listed in Section 5.2 above

Target population and sampling frame – The target population is the total population served under the project, and the sampling frame consists of aggregated data of end-users of the ICS as recorded in the PA Databases. The sampling frame will be kept for 2 years following the crediting period or the last issuance of the CERs of the project activity.

Sample method – Sampling will be conducted using stratified random sampling techniques¹² over the sampling frame, and detailed calculations are provided below as per CDM guidelines "Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities" the ICS in the sampling frame shall be stratified by ICS model type *i* (model) and batch *j* (year of installation).

Stratified Random Sampling will be used to select samples from the Project Database for monitoring parameters. Optionally, other sampling approaches may be used in accordance with Standard "Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities" and Guideline for Sampling and Surveys for CDM Project Activities and Programme of Activities when sampling techniques or statistical analysis necessitates it.

¹² Other Sampling approaches may be applied during the course of crediting period, in case needed.

VCS

The sample size shall be determined using the following formula:

$$n \ge \frac{z^2 * N * V}{(N-1) * precision^2 + z^2 * V}$$

Where,

n = number of ICS to be sampled

N = Total number of ICS in the population

Z = Constant referring to level of confidence (1.96 for 95 %, 1.646 for 90%)

Precision = Required precision (e.g., 10% = 0.1)

$$V = \frac{SD^2}{p^2}$$
Where:

$$SD^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_i * p_i * (1 - p_i)}{N}$$

$$\overline{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_i * p_i}{N}$$

Where,

- g_i = weight of strata i in the population
- p_i = expected proportion of strata i in the population

k = total number of strata in the population

To ensure a random stratified sample selection, random number generators shall be applied. Each ICS in the target population is uniquely identifiable by its Serial ID number. Each ICS can thus be allocated a Sample Selection Number in each monitoring period, starting at 1 and increasing up to the total number of ICS in the Database for that pre-defined stratified sampling frame. Applying the random number generators, the ICS can then be randomly chosen from the defined population up to the required sample size as calculated by the CME.

In the case of parameters monitored for the first time the expected variation for that measure in the sample may be based on results from similar studies, pilot studies, or from the project planner's own knowledge / experience of the data.

The data will be collected using surveys carried out either by external third parties or by project implementer teams. Data collected from the surveys will be compiled into an Excel spreadsheet.

Data obtained from the samples will be used to estimate proportions and mean values for the parameters described above. The values will then be factored into the emissions reduction calculations.



During sampling, there may be non-response from the target population. Over-sampling may be used to avoid non-response; however, sampling may be ceased once required confidence/precision is met.

Alternatively, a simplified approach proposed in option (b) under Section 8.4 of methodology may be used for determining the minimum sample size in which case compliance with required confidence/precision is not obligatory.

The minimum sample size for project cookstove to conduct the usage survey should be:

- 1. Project target population < 300: Minimum sample size 30
- 2. Project target population 300 to 1000: Minimum sample size 10% of group size
- 3. Project target population > 1000: Minimum sample size 100

Refer section 1.11 above for the management plan and operation framework (e.g., responsibilities and competencies of the personnel that will be carrying out monitoring activities, the procedures for internal auditing and QA/QC, etc.).